UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,712	03/28/2006	Masahiro Yuhara	ARGM123US	2253
52473 7590 12/28/2007 RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			RUSH, ERIC	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/573,712	YUHARA, MASAHIRO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Eric Rush	2624		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUM (36(a). In no event, however, make will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	JNICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BY ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 10 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
\ <u>-</u>	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2006</u> is/are: a	•	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	·			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical priorical priorical priorical copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>28 <i>March</i> 2006</u> .	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tashiro Sozaburo JP-2003-226227-A in view of Aihara Hidenori and Hashimoto Junji JP-2003-237504-A.
 - With regards to claim 1, Tashior Sozaburo teaches a biometric identity
 verification apparatus, comprising: imaging means for taking an image
 indicative of a person sitting on a specific seat of an automotive vehicle;
 (Sozaburo, Parargraph 0012, the camera module which picturizes the face
 image of the operator of a car) biometric identity information obtaining

> means for obtaining biometric identity information indicative of said person sitting on said specific seat from said image taken by said imaging means; (Sozaburo, Parargraph 0014) biometric identity information storing means for storing biometric identity information of at least one user who is allowed to utilize said automotive vehicle; (Sozaburo, Pargraph 0014, moreover, the camera module controller compares the face image data memorized beforehand and the face image data pixturized by the camera module with a storage means) and judging means for verifying said biometric identity information obtained by said biometric identity information obtaining means on the basis of said biometric identity information stored by said biometric identity information storing means to judge whether or not said person sitting on said specific seat is identical to said user who is allowed to utilize said automotive vehicle. (Sozaburo, Pargraph 0014 and Paragraph 0021) Sozaburo fails to teach seat position information storing means for storing position information indicative of a position of said specific seat with respect to said automotive vehicle; and steering wheel shifting means for shifting the steering wheel of said automotive vehicle to a position to be within a predetermined range in position, or a direction to be within a predetermined range in direction. Hidenori et al. teach seat position information storing means for storing position information indicative of a position of said specific seat with respect to said automotive vehicle; (Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0009 and

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Sozaburo to include the teachings to Hidenori et al. This modification would have been prompted in order to include additional known features of automatic individualized operator setting adjustment to the apparatus of Sozaburo. Hidenori et al. use a driver license scanner to obtain the stored adjustment settings but one of ordinary skill in the art well known alternative of biometrics without undue experimentation and with a reasonable outcome of success.

With regards to claim 2, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. Sozaburo fails to teach an apparatus which further comprises seat position information registering means for registering in said seat position information storing means said position information indicative of the current position of said specific seat with respect to said automotive vehicle. Hidenori et al. teaches an apparatus which further comprises seat position information registering means for registering in said seat position information storing means said position information indicative of the

current position of said specific seat with respect to said automotive vehicle. (Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0009 – 0010, whenever manual actuation of the location of a car equipment device, an include angle, or a drive position is performed by each operator, it is the control unit of the car function characterized by including the stored data setting-out means made to set up as data of an operator storage means to memorize this content of actuation about this operator.)

- With regards to claim 3, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. Sozaburo teaches in which said imaging means is operative to take an image indicative of the face of said person sitting on said specific seat.

 (Sozaburo, Paragraph 0007)
- With regards to claim 4, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. Sozaburo teaches in which said imaging means is operative to take an image indicative of one or two irises of said person sitting on said specific seat. (Sozaburo, Pargaraph 0007)
- With regards to claim 5, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a
 biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. Sozaburo

teaches an apparatus which further comprises an in-vehicle apparatus immobilizing means to be operative in combination with at least one invehicle apparatus, (Sozaburo, Paragraph 0021, engine start-up prohibition command) in which said judging means is operative to verify said biometric identity information obtained by said biometric identity information obtaining means to judge whether or not said person sitting on said specific seat is identical to said user who is allowed to utilize said invehicle apparatus, and in which said in-vehicle apparatus immobilizing means is operative to immobilize said in-vehicle apparatus to prevent said in-vehicle apparatus from being utilized by said person sitting on said specific seat when the judgment is made that said person sitting on said specific seat is not identical to said user who is allowed to utilize said invehicle apparatus. (Sozaburo, Paragraph 0020 - 0021)

With regards to claim 6, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 5. Sozaburo teaches in which said in-vehicle apparatus is constituted by an engine activating apparatus for activating the engine of said automotive vehicle. (Sozaburo, Pargraph 0021, And when the affirmative judgement is carried out, those who have advanced into the vehicle interior of a room judge that he is the registrant of normal, perform an engine start-up command)

> With regards to claim 7, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. Sozaburo fails to teach an apparatus in which said seat position information storing means is operative to store seat-position-in-moving-vehicle information indicative of said position to be occupied in moving vehicle by said specific seat, to be defined with respect to said automotive vehicle, said position of said specific seat depending on said user who is allowed to utilize said automotive vehicle, and in which said seat shifting means is operative to shift said specific seat to said position represented by said seat-positionin-moving-vehicle information stored by said seat position information storing means when the judgment is made that said person sitting on said specific seat is identical to said user who is allowed to utilize said automotive vehicle. Hidenori et al. teach an apparatus in which said seat position information storing means is operative to store seat-position-inmoving-vehicle information indicative of said position to be occupied in moving vehicle by said specific seat, to be defined with respect to said automotive vehicle, (Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0009 and paragraph 0050 -0053) said position of said specific seat depending on said user who is allowed to utilize said automotive vehicle, (Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0050 - 0053) and in which said seat shifting means is operative to shift said specific seat to said position represented by said seat-position-in-movingvehicle information stored by said seat position information storing means

when the judgment is made that said person sitting on said specific seat is identical to said user who is allowed to utilize said automotive vehicle.

(Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0050- 0053, 0059)

With regards to claim 9, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. Sozaburo fails to teach an apparatus which further comprises steering wheel position judging means for judging whether or not said position of said steering wheel is within said predetermined range in position, or whether or not said direction of said steering wheel is within said predetermined range in direction, and in which said steering wheel position adjusting means is operative to shift the steering wheel of said automotive vehicle to said position to be within said predetermined range in position, or said direction to be within said predetermined range in direction when the judgment is made that said position of said steering wheel is not within said predetermined range in position, or the judgment is made that said direction of said steering wheel is not within said predetermined range in direction. Hidenori et al. teach an apparatus which further comprises steering wheel position judging means for judging whether or not said position of said steering wheel is within said predetermined range in position, or whether or not said direction of said steering wheel is within said predetermined range in direction, (Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0052

step a4 and paragraph 0056) and in which said steering wheel position adjusting means is operative to shift the steering wheel of said automotive vehicle to said position to be within said predetermined range in position, or said direction to be within said predetermined range in direction when the judgment is made that said position of said steering wheel is not within said predetermined range in position, or the judgment is made that said direction of said steering wheel is not within said predetermined range in direction. (Hidenori et al., Paragraph 0052 step a4 and paragraph 0056, when the vehicle is disabled the steering wheel and the seat is moved out of the way so the operator may exit, and when the vehicle is started and operator judgment is verified the steering wheel and seat are returned to the stored positions, therefor it is known what ranges and angles the seat and wheel are in prior to adjustment since they are returned to a known position when the vehicle is at 0 mph and the driver's door is opened.)

With regards to claim 10, Sozaburo in view of Hidenori et al. teach an automotive vehicle comprising a biometric identity verification apparatus as set forth in claim 1. (See Claim 1)

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number:

10/573,712 Art Unit: 2624

- Kim U.S. Patent No. 6,828,899; which is directed towards a fingerprint identification system and method for a motor vehicle.
- Washington U.S. Patent No. 6,282,475; which is directed towards a system for automatically adjustable devices in an automotive vehicle.
- Grantz U.S. Patent No. 6,252,978; which is directed towards a device for protecting a motor vehicle against use by third parties, with individual driving authorization.
- Berghold et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,812,067; which is directed towards a system for recognizing authorization to use a vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Rush whose telephone number is (571) 270-3017. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samir Ahmed can be reached on (571) 272-7413. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ER

SAMIR AHMED SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER